Appendix 1 - Tor Bay Harbour Authority Risk Register 2017/18

Custon	mer Focused						Current F	Risk Score						
Risk No	0	Spar Code Scorecard Objective	Risk Title	Risk Description, Threat: What could happen to affect this, Cause: How could it happen		Impact description, what could the impact be?	Control measures (SPAR Projects & PI's)	Probability Score	Impact Score	Risk Score	Risk Rating. 1-4-L 6-9=M 12-16=H	Control Owners	Risk Owner	Accountable Body
1	HM 01	MS RR 1. Encourage local prosperity	Encourage Local Prosperity	If we fail to capitalise on Torbay's maritime setting or implement a robust sustainable maritime industry - Then we will not have the revenue to support the local maritime economy or regenerate our built infrastructure - So this may have a negative impact on tourism revenues, loss of maritime services and damage to our reputation.	Unlikely	new infrastructure. Missed investment opportunities. Lower fish toll income. Inability for the harbour account to service prudential	 Produce a schedule of Maritime Events (Jan 2017). Maintain an Oil Spill Response and Contingency Plan (OPRC) and undertake an oil pollution response exercise ensure we have suitable trained staff to respond. Provide visitor moorings and maintain a competitive charging regime (Annually). Maximise the benefits from the new Brixham Fish Market Monitor the fish tolls income and the impact of the Common Fisheries Policy (Monthly). Maintain a Harbour Authority Business Plan and Business Continuity Plan (March 2017). To keep existing businesses and attract new activities (Ongoing). Explore marketing opportunities (Ongoing). Maintain a Tor Bay Harbour - Port Masterplan (Ongoing). 	2	3	6	М	Kevin Mowat Dave Bartlett Simon Pinder Nick Burns	Executive Head o Business Services	Harbour Committee
2	HM 02	2. To engage with the community and harbour users	To engage with the community and harbou stakeholders	If we fail to consult and engage appropriately with all relevant harbour users, groups and stakeholders - Then we will be unable to provide accountable and transparent management of the Tor Bay Harbour Authority - So we may be accused of failing to accurately meet the needs of our customers and stakeholders resulting in a loss of revenue streams and damage to our reputation.	Unlikely		1.To hold meetings with harbour users and other stakeholders (Quarterly). 2.Use established user groups and Community Partnerships to consult on specific issues (Ongoing). 3.To continue to work with relevant voluntary and community organisations (Ongoing). 4.To support and engage with the local Coastal Partnership - SeaTorbay (Quarterly). 5.Undertake regular User Surveys. 6. Monitor the Visitor Feedback forms (Quarterly).	2	3	6	М	Kevin Mowat Dave Bartlett Simon Pinder Nick Burns	Executive Head o Business Services	Harbour Committee
3	HM 03	MS RR 3. To maintain a stewardship of the harbour's bu and natural environment	illt To maintain a stewardship of the harbour's built and natural environment	If we fail to implement a sustainable approach to harbour management in respect to present and future climatic, environmental and economic changes - Then we will be unable to increase public awareness of the maritime environment as a valuable social and economic asset - So the impact of harbour activities may degrade the natural environment resulting in possible prosecution, loss of revenues and damage to our reputation.	Unlikely	Increased waste costs if not properly managed. Risk of corporate prosecution. Ineffective strategic direction based on an incomplete understanding of the direction being taken by the Harbour Authority. Inability for the Harbour	12. Investigate renewable energy projects for use on the harbour estate (Sept 2017).	2	3	6	M	Kevin Mowat	Executive Head o Business Services	Harbour Committee

Monetary F	ocused							Current R	Risk Score				
Risk No	Spar Code Scorecard Objective	Risk Title	Risk Description, Threat: What could happen to affect this, Cause: How could it happen		Impact description, what could the impact be?	Control measures (SPAR Projects & Pl's)	Probability Score	Impact Score	Risk Score	Risk Rating. 1-4-L 6-9=M 12-16=H	Control Owner	Risk Owner	Accountable Body
4 HN 04	AS RR 4. To achieve financial strength and effectively manage the Harbour Authority's assets	Effectively manage the Harbour Authority's assets	If we fail to effectively manage all of the Harbour Authority's financial and built assets - Then we may fail to secure competitive rental stream revenue and our built infrastructure will deteriorate - this may leave us with unsafe infrastructure, failing to meet government guidelines on best practice, forced cessation of some discretionary services and damage to our reputation.	Likely	Ineffective strategic direction, cessation of business activities, loss of staff, loss of revenue, damage to reputation and failing to meet best practice guidelines. Inability for the barbour account to convice production	1.Produce an Asset Management Plan for the Harbour Authority.(March 2017) 2.Monitor and maximise harbour estate lettings occupancy (Quarterly). 3. Monitor variation on budgeted income and budgeted expenditure.(Quarterly) 4.To keep existing business and attract new activities (Ongoing). 5.Implement the safety management improvement plan.(Nov 2017) 6.Maintain a Harbour Emergency Response Plan and Business Continuity Plan (Ongoing). 7.Financial Regulations and audit controls. 8.Review harbour charges and maintain strong rental streams. (Annually) 9.Maintain competitive charging regime (Annually). 10.To produce and review a Risk Register for the Harbour Authority.(March 2018) 11.Maintain a Harbour Authority Audit Plan. (June 2017) 12.To keep existing businesses and attract new activities (Ongoing). 13.Explore marketing opportunities (Ongoing).	3	3	9	М	Nick Burns Kevin Mowat Dave Bartlett Simon Pinder	Executive Head of Business Services	of Harbour Committee

Proce	ssed Focus	sed							Current R	Risk Score				
Risk N	Spar Code	Scorecard Objective	Risk Title	Risk Description, Threat: What could happen to affect this, Cause: How could it happen		Impact description, what could the impact be?	Control measures (SPAR Projects & PI's)	Probability Score	Impact Score	Risk Score	Risk Rating. 1-4-L 6-9=M 12-16=H	Control Owner	Risk Owner	Accountable Body
5	HMS RR 05	5. Effective risk management and health and safety in place	Effective risk management and health and safety	If we do not have a robust culture for managing our risks, our projects, as well as our information governance and operational Health and Safety - Then we may suffer failings in the overall management of the Harbour Authority - So this may result in injury to stakeholders, loss of revenue, lost information, legal action and damage to our reputation.	Unlikely	Insufficient staff to fulfil obligations. Staff health & safety compromised. Legal action against the Council. Weak project governance leading to poor delivery & inadequate control measures. Projects run late and/or over budget. Outcomes not achieved. Information or financial loss. Time wasted looking for information. Risk of not meeting FOI/customer requests correctly. Loss of reputation.	e 5.Ensure staff are properly trained (Ongoing).	2	4	8	M	Nick Burns Kevin Mowat Dave Bartlett Simon Pinder	Executive Head of Business Services	Harbour Committee
6	HMS RR 06	6. Ensuring equality and diversity in service delivery - together with equality of opportunity	Ensure quality and diversity of service delivery and provision	If we fail to provide a service that ensures equality and diversity - Then we may unknowingly discriminate against staff and/or stakeholders - So this may result in legal action, insufficient staff levels, drop in service delivery and damage to our reputation.	Very Unlikely	Discrimination, legal effects. Insufficient staff to fulfil obligations. Legal action against the Council. Loss of reputation.	1.Complete equality impact assessments and produce an improvement action plan (Annually).	1	2	2	L	Simon Pinder	Executive Head of Business Services	Harbour Committee
7	HMS RR 07	7. Improve and maintain the customer experience	Maintain or improve the customer experience	If we do not provide a high quality harbour service that accurately meets the needs of our customers - Then we will not be providing a professional and equitable service - So this may result in loss of demand for harbour services, loss of revenue streams and damage to our reputation.	Unlikely	Insufficient staff to fulfil obligations, facilities provided at a loss, income stream lost, unfair allocation of harbour facilities, legal action against the authority, high level of complaints and damage to our reputation.	1.Review visitor feedback forms and Harbour Users Survey. 2.Review harbour charges and maintain strong rental streams (Annually). 3.Complete equality impact assessments and produce an improvement action plan (Annually). 4.Maintain competitive charging regime (Annually). 5.Withdraw or do not supply certain discretionary facilities. 6.Retain membership of appropriate Trade and Professional Associations (British Ports Association, UK Harbour Masters Association) (Annually). 7. Maintain a Tor Bay Harbour - Port Masterplan (Ongoing). 8.Keep and review the Harbour Operational Moorings Policy (March 2017). 9. Maintain an up to date Harbour Authority website (Ongoing).	2	2	4	L	Nick Burns Kevin Mowat Dave Bartlett Simon Pinder	Executive Head of Business Services	Harbour Committee
8	HMS RR	8. Maintain safety	Maintain safety	If we fail to fulfil our obligation to provide a competent Harbour Authority, by not enforcing all applicable statues, byelaws and legislation - Then we will not be providing a safe haven for vessels or a safe Harbour estate for users and visitors - So we may fail to accurately respond to legislative changes resulting in a financial penalty and we may face deterioration of our built infrastructure, a major emergency and severe damage to our reputation.	Unlikely	Statutory duty may not be met. Safety may be prejudiced. Government intervention. Stakeholder dissatisfaction. Insufficient staff to fulfil obligations. Legal action against the Council. Harbour cannot achieve objectives in context of government policy. Premises unsafe/unusable for extended period. Cessation of business activities. Loss of reputation.	1.Renew the bilateral agreement with the UKHO (Annually). 2.Audit & Inspection from Trinity House (Annually). 3.PANAR - Navigation Lights availability (Quarterly). 4.Implement the safety management improvement plan (Nov 2017). 5.Maintain a Harbour Emergency Response Plan and Business Continuity Plan. 6.External contract for the provision of pilotage services. 7.Membership of appropriate Trade and Professional Associations (British Ports Association, British Marine Federation, UK Harbour Masters Association & Port Skills and Safety) (Annually). 8.Review harbour charges and maintain strong rental streams (Annually). 9.Periodic review of Harbour legislation (2017). 10. Review and improve the Safety Management System software (Dec 2017). 11. Issue local Notices to Mariners and enforce Harbour Byelaws (Ongoing).	2	4	8	M	Nick Burns Kevin Mowat Dave Bartlett Simon Pinder	Executive Head of Business Services	Harbour Committee

Employe	e Focuse	d							Current R	Risk Score				
Risk No	Spar Code	Scorecard Objective	Risk Title	Risk Description, Threat: What could happen to affect this, Cause: How could it happen		Impact description, what could the impact be?	Control measures (SPAR Projects & Pl's)	Probability Score	Impact Score	Risk Score	Risk Rating. 1-4-L 6-9=M 12-16=H	Control Owner	Risk Owner	Accountable Body
9	HMS RR 09	9. Effective workforce planning	Effective workforce planning	If we lack effective workforce planning - Then we may fail to offer appropriate learning and development to all staff - So this may lead to insufficient staff to fulfil obligations, incompetent staff, deterioration of safety standards which has the potential to lead to personal injury and damage to our reputation.	Likely	Unsettled staff. Loss of productivity. Personnel leaving. Insufficient budget to meet the cost of an appropriate grading structure. Staff redundancies or reduced working hours. Loss of reputation.	1.To encourage Harbour Masters to fully complete CPD records (Ongoing). 2.To monitor and support staff through induction and appraisal reviews (Annually). 3.To reduce staff absence (Monthly). 4.Review harbour charges and maintain strong rental streams (Annually). 5.Take professional advice from Human Resources (Ongoing). 6.Liaise with staff on a regular basis and ensure that information is promulgated (Daily). 7.Membership of appropriate Trade and Professional Associations (British Ports Association, British Marine Federation, UK Harbour Masters Association & Port Skills and Safety) (Annually).	3	2	4	L	Nick Burns Kevin Mowat Dave Bartlett Simon Pinder	Executive Head of Business Services	Harbour Committee

Score	Probability	Description
4	Very Likely	Has occurred in the organisation in recent months OR It is almost certain that it will occur at some time in the future
3	Likely	Has occurred in the organisation in recent years OR There is a strong probability that it will occur at some time in the future
2	Unlikely	Has occurred in the organisation in the past OR There is a possibility it will occur at some time in the future
1	Very Unlikely	Has not occurred in the organisation before OR Will only occur in exceptional circumstances

			npact Scoring Guidance -	THORS .	
Impact Level	Financial	Reputational	Human Welfare	Organisational	Natural & Built Environme
4 - Catastrophic (Crisis with potential to lead to severe disruption)	Loss of 50% or more of budget or funding	Negative national and local media attention for over 6 months Possible change to the Senior Management Team Government intervention and investigation Outrage amongst the local community	Over 1000 people negatively affected Multiple fatalities, disabilities or long- term hospitalisation of 10 or more people	Interruption lasts over 6 months Negatively affects entire commission and multiple business units Major impact on strategic objectives Challenge to accounts Ombudsman investigation Legal claims and/or proceedings brought by multiple individuals, groups and/or organisations	Negative effects last over year Widespread damage to human infrastructure Widespread pollution and damage to the natural environment Multiple wards affected
3 - Major (Critical Event)	Loss of between 25 and 50% of budget or funding	Negative local media coverage for up to 6 months Potential for ombudsman investigation Serious damage to organisation's reputation	100 – 1000 people negatively affected 1 fatality or short term hospitalisation and rehabilitation of up to 10 people	Service delivery interrupted for between 1 and 6 months Negatively affects multiple business units Major impact on business unit objectives Possible challenge by Ombudsman Potential for legal proceedings and large claims for multiple individuals/groups	Negative effects last between 6 months and 1 year Significant damage to hur infrastructure Significant pollution and damage to natural environment Single ward affected
2 - Moderate (Event requires a moderate level of resource and input)	Loss of between 10 and 25% of budget or funding	Negative local media coverage for up to 1 month Generates a small number of complaints Local community aware of statutory prosecution of a non-serious nature	10 – 100 people negatively affected Severe injury to several individuals	Service delivery interrupted for between1 week and 1 month Negatively affects 1 business unit Potential for claims from several individuals	Negative effects last up to month Minor damage to human infrastructure Minor pollution and dama to the natural environment
1 - Minor (effect minimal)	Loss of up to 10% of budget or funding	Negative local media coverage for less than 1 week Complaint from single individual or small group	1 – 10 people negatively affected Very minor injury or discomfort to an individual	Service delivery interrupted for up to 1 week Potential to negatively affect multiple service areas Very low possibility of litigation	Negative effects last up to week Single building or pieces infrastructure negatively affected Limited negative effect or natural environment and/human infrastructure

Impact Level	Financial	Reputational	Human Welfare	Organisational	Natural & Built Environment
4 - Triumph	Gain of 50% or more of budget or funding	Positive national and local media attention for over 6 months Possible national commendation for a member of the Senior Management Team National award or recognition of elevated status by national government	Improved safety and welfare of over 1000 people Major improvements in welfare, health and safety of multiple people, groups and organisations	Positive effects last over 6 months Positively affects service delivery in entire commission and multiple business units Excellent performance against corporate / community plan priorities Potential for national award for excellence Increase in funding streams due to service innovation and/ or excellence	Positive effects last over 1 year and is adapted into further improvements Widespread positive change to natural environment Widespread positive change to human infrastructure Multiple wards affected
3 - Major	Gain of between 25 and 50% of budget or funding	Positive local media coverage for up to 6 months Potential for promotion of employee Serious boost to organisation's reputation	Improved safety and welfare of up to 1000 people Improved welfare and safety of multiple groups and/or organisations	Noticeable improvement in service delivery for between 1 and 6 months Positively affects service delivery in multiple business units Major step towards achieving priority in corporate / community plan Potential for regional award or recognition for excellence Potential for increased regional funding due to service innovation and/or excellence	Positive effects last between 6 months and 1 year and can be easily capitalised upon Significant positive change to natural environment Significant positive change to human infrastructure Single ward affected
2 - Moderate (Event requires a moderate level of resource and input)	Gain of between10 and 25% of budget or funding	Positive local media coverage for up to 1 month Generates a small number of local compliments Local community aware of a minor improvement in service delivery	10 – 1000 people positively affected Improved safety and welfare of up to 100 people and/or some small groups /organisations	Noticeable improvement in service delivery for up to 1 month Potential for service area to be recommended for a professional excellence award Move towards achieving an objective on time and within budget	Positive effects last up to 1 month Minor positive change to natural environment Minor positive change to human infrastructure
1 - Minor (effect minimal)	Gain of up to 10% of budget or funding	Positive local media coverage lasts less than 1 week Compliment on service from single individual or small group	Improved safety and welfare to an individual 1 – 10 people positively affected	Potential for an individual being recommended for a professional acknowledgement of excellence	Positive effects last up to 1 week Limited positive effect on natural environment and/or human infrastructure